

ÎNATHE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Attila Grauzer, et al.

Examiner:

Benjamin H. Layno

Serial No. 10/622,321

Group Art Unit:

3711

Filed:

July 17, 2003

Docket No.

PA0847.ap.US

Title:

PLAYING CARD DEALING SHOE WITH AUTOMATED INTERNAL

CARD FEEDING AND CARD READING

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Form PTOL-85B (1pg), plus one copy

Communication Re: Issue Fee Transmittal, with a \$1,030.00 Check for Fees

Copy of Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, Notice of Allowability, Examiner's Amendment, Interview Summary, and Determination of Patent Term Adjustment

Transmittal Sheet Return postcard

Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for sufficient number of months to enter these papers if an additional extension of time is deemed necessary by the Office. Authorization is hereby given to charge Deposit Account Number 50-1391 if such additional extension is necessary.

MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

York Business Center, Suite 205, 3209 W. 76th St.

Edina, MN 55435 (952-832-9090)

By:

Atty: Mark &. Litman

Reg. No. 26,390

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described herein, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 14 February 2006

Mark A. Litman

Name

Signature

OIPE WAR			
FEB 1 6 7/IIIA	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	40/600 204	GRAUZER ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	10/622,321 Examiner	Art Unit	
MADE	Basismin II I ayas	3711	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3/11	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31:	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED i) or other appropriate commRIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not include nunication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed	<u>11/01/05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-8,24 and 28.			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do			ion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON'THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file MENT of this application.	e a reply complying with the req	uirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	nitted. Note the attached EX res reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or No or declaration is deficient.	OTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	st be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper		w (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR teach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	I.84(c)) should be written on t the header according to 37 Cl	the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d).	back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	osit of BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. N OLOGICAL MATERIAL.	lote the
	·		
Attachment(s)	e - N. H	Samuel Batant Anniination (DTO	152\
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nformal Patent Application (PTO	152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No.	ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date <u>11/10/05</u> .	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	08), 7. 🗌 Examiner's	Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗌 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allov	vance '
of Biological Material	9.	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/622,321

Art Unit: 3711

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mark Litman on 11/08/05.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 16-21, 26 and 29 have been canceled:

In claim 1, line 4, --individually, one at a time,-- has been inserted after "cards" and before "from".

In claim 1, line 7, --individually, one at a time,-- has been inserted after "cards" and before "from".

In claim 1, line 9, --individually, one at a time,-- has been inserted after "moved" and before "in".

In claim 1, line 12, --, individually, one at a time,-- has been inserted after "separately" and before "after".

In claim 1, lines 15-16, the recitation "individual cards" has been changed to – cards from the shoe individually, one at a time--.

Reasons For Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/622,321

Art Unit: 3711 .

- 3. The patent to McCrea, Jr. discloses a card shuffler, Fig. 16. The cards are shuffled when moving from a card staging area to the card delivery area. Thus, the cards, removed from the staging area and moved to the delivery area, are **not** moved in the same order by which cards were removed from the first set of cards and moved to the card staging area, as recited in claim 1.
- 4. The patent to Purton discloses a container or box 504 where the cards are delivered. When the box is full of cards, the box is removed, thus the entire set of cards are removed at one time. Purton does **not** have a slot in the card delivery area enabling removal of cards individually, one at a time, as recited in claim 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571)272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/622,321

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layno

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711

bhl

DE	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/622,321	GRAUZER ET	GRAUZER ET AL.			
(FEB 1 6 元 [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Examiner	Art Unit				
A STATE OF THE STA	Benjamin H. Layno	3711				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		•			
(1) <u>Benjamin Layno</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mark Litman.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 November 2005</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-29</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner indicated that claim 1 contained allowable subject matter, however, it was not clearly recited. The Applicant's Representative agreed to a proposed amendment to claim 1, and the cancelation of claims 16-21, 26 and 29. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		•				
•		•	(1)			
	•					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	r's signature, if required	<u> </u>			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,321	07/17/2003	Attila Grauzer	PA0847.A P.US	4332	
75	90 11/14/2005		EXAM	INER .	
	& Associates, P.A.		LAYNO, B	LAYNO, BENJAMIN	
Suite 205 York Business Cen	ter		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3209 West 76th St.			3711		
Edina, MN 55435		•	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 0 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 0 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571) 272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.